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Abstract

Most pycnodontiform fishes are represented by their distinctive dentition alone, whereas articulated skeletons are very rare and the systematic position of most taxa based upon isolated teeth and the association of upper and lower dentitions to a specific taxon is still somewhat ambiguous in most cases. The vomerine dentition of the Late Jurassic pycnodontiform *Athrodon wittei* (Fricke, 1876), which is described here for the first time, is characterised by a high number of lateral tooth rows and the distinct morphology of the teeth. The dentition of *Athrodon* differs from most other pycnodont dentitions in the peculiar arrangement of the teeth into irregular rows and not well-differentiated principal row. The absence of regular tooth rows is not considered plesiomorphic here but most probably was achieved independently in different pycnodont lineages. The high number of lateral tooth rows (> four) is considered to be autapomorphic for *Athrodon*. The pycnodont fish *Nonaphalagodus* from the Albian of Texas, which also is known by isolated dentitions only, resembles *Athrodon* in the high number of vomerine tooth rows but differs in that this taxon displays the more derived feature of the teeth being arranged more regularly and individualized rows.

Introduction

The Pycnodontiformes is a monophyletic group of predominantly Mesozoic fishes with mostly deep and laterally compressed bodies (Nursall 1996, 1999; Kriwet 2001a; Poyato-Ariza & Wenz 2002). They are highly specialised with regard to their prey, which is expressed in their durophagous dentition with rounded teeth arranged in more or less defined rows forming dental plates on the unpaired vomer in the upper and paired prearticulars in the lower jaws. The premaxillae and dentaries generally bear a single row of few grasping teeth, which are incisiform in more derived forms (Kriwet 2001b, 2003, 2005). Pycnodonts are considered to represent the sister group of teleosts (e.g., Arratia 1999: fig. 19; Kriwet 2001a). Some 700 nominal species belonging to several dozens of genera have been described to date. About ten genera are based entirely on isolated dentitions and only 78 species are known from skeletal remains. The evolutionary history of pycnodontiforms encompasses a period of approximately 175 million years (Delsate & Kriwet 2004; Kriwet & Schmitz 2005). They first appear in the Late Triassic (Norian) on the northern margins of Tethys (Tintori 1981) and persist into the Palaeogene (Eocene) (e.g., Longbottom 1984). During the Jurassic they rapidly diversified and pycnodont remains are common in marine and brackish sediments of Late Jurassic and Cretaceous age (Kriwet 2001c). They had a nearly world-wide distribution in the Mesozoic and Palaeogene and are considered major components of marine fossil fish assemblages. The distribution and ecology of pycnodont fishes has been described in general terms only to date by Schaeffer (1970), Nursall (1996a), Poyato-Ariza et al. (1999), and Kriwet (2001b, 2001c). Most pycnodonts are small to medium sized fishes with a standard body length of some 25 cm or less. Only few large forms with a standard body length of more than 50 cm are known. *Gyrodus circularis* is the largest known pycnodont with a standard body length up to 200 cm (Kriwet & Schmitz 2005).
The main objective of this paper is to (1) describe the upper (vomerine) dentition of the enigmatic Late Jurassic pycnodont fish *Athrodon wittei* for the first time and (2) provide revised and extended diagnoses for the genus *Athrodon* and the species *A. wittei*.

**Material and locality**

The single specimen (MB f. 7135) that forms the focus of this paper and which represents the dentigerous upper jaw (vomer) of the pycnodontiform fish *Athrodon wittei* (Fricke, 1876) comes from the locality of Holzen near Hanover in northwestern Germany (Fig. 1) and was recovered from the so-called ‘Pteroceras’ layers (*Aporrhais* layers), which are Kimmeridgian (Late Jurassic) in age.

**Abbreviations.** BMNH, The Natural History Museum London; GZG, Geoscience Centre of the University of Göttingen, Museum, Collections & Geopark; MB, Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin.

**Systematic Palaeontology**

**Order** *Pycnodontiformes* Berg, 1937  
**Family** incertae sedis

*Athrodon* Sauvage, 1880

**Diagnosis (emended).** Pycnodontiform fish only known from isolated dentitions and characterized by a combination of predominantly pleisiomorphic and supposed autapomorphic features (indicated by an asterisk (*)). The prearticulars are massive and rather stout with a deep symphyseal facet. Prearticulars with oval to subcircular teeth; irregularly arranged and widely spaced (*). Principal series not well-differentiated (*). Up to two medial and several irregular lateral tooth rows. Teeth feebly mammilated and ornamented with striae along the crown borders when unworn. Vomer rather stout and massive, with the oral surface being strongly convex from side to side. One principal but not well-differentiated and more than four lateral tooth rows with rather irregularly arranged teeth (*). Main teeth are the largest, circular in outline with shallow, mammilated apical pits bordered by crimped margins and striae along the margins of the tooth crowns when unworn.

**Type species.** *Athrodon douvillei* Sauvage, 1880 from the Kimmeridgian (Late Jurassic) of Boulogne, northern France.

**Jurassic taxa assigned to *Athrodon***. *Athrodon boloniensis* Sauvage, 1880; *Athrodon douvillei* Sauvage, 1880; *Athrodon wittei* (Fricke, 1876).

**Cretaceous taxa included in *Athrodon***. *Athrodon crassus* Woodward, 1893; *Athrodon intermedium* Woodward, 1893; *Athrodon jessoni* Woodward, 1895a; *Athrodon profusidens* (Cornel 1886); *Athrodon* sp. Woodward, 1893; *Athrodon* sp. Priem, 1911; *Athrodon tenuis* Woodward, 1893.

*Athrodon vittei* (Fricke 1876)

1876 *Mesodon vittei* Fricke: 364, pl. 19, fig. 15.  
2005 *Athrodon vittei*. – Kriwet: fig. 43.

**Diagnosis (emended).** Prearticular teeth widely spaced with principal teeth being the largest (about 2.5 times larger than the adjacent ones) and circular in outline. Medial teeth small, oval in outline and arranged in two very irregular tooth rows. Teeth of the lateral tooth rows as large as medial teeth and irregularly arranged in six tooth rows on each side of the principal row. Vomer with one principal and at least six lateral tooth rows. Main teeth are the largest, subcircular to oval in the posterior and circular to slightly rectangular in the anterior portion of the vomer. Teeth of the first lateral row are inserted completely into spaces between the main teeth posteriorly and anteriorly. Occlusal surfaces of the teeth almost vertically arranged from the third tooth row on. Teeth of the third lateral tooth row are the largest of all lateral teeth being oval in outline with their long axes arranged vertically to the long axis of the vomer. Teeth of the fourth lateral row are the smallest. Some very small circular teeth lateral to the sixth tooth row.

**Holotype.** GZG.V.21737, isolated right prearticular dentition. The specimen is stored under publication number 762.

**Locus typicus.** Tönjesberg, near Hanover, northwestern Germany (Fig. 1).

**Stratum typicum.** “Pteroceras-Schichten”, lower Kimmeridgian, Late Jurassic.
Geographic and stratigraphic distribution. Species only known from the type locality and horizon.

Remarks. The holotype is a fragmentary prearticular (Fig. 2); only the posterior portion with parts of the coronoid process is preserved. It displays an irregular medial, a principal, and five to six irregular lateral tooth rows. The teeth of the medial tooth row are subcircular in outline and exhibit a shallow apical furrow with crimped walls. The principal teeth are the largest. They are circular in outline and are about twice as large as the teeth of the medial and the first lateral tooth rows. A single tooth bears some short striae at its anterior crown margin, an apical furrow is not preserved. The lateral teeth are irregularly arranged and the differentiation of rows is obscured.

Description. The single, isolated vomerine dentition that forms the focus of this paper from Tönjesberg, MB f. 7135 (Fig. 3), differs from most pycnodont dentitions in its tooth arrangement and morphology. It is rather stout and massive. In occlusal view, the dentigerous part is wedge-like. The posterior limb of the vomer bone, which forms the contact with the supporting endentulous parasphenoid in the living animal, lacks teeth and tapers rapidly caudally. The oral surface of the dentigerous portion is strongly convex from side to side. This indicates that the prearticulars, which form the functional lower jaw, form a rather deep and round mortar, into which the vomer fits like a pestle (compare Kriwet 2003: fig. 6).

The vomer bears a principal row and at least six more or less longitudinal tooth rows on each side adjacent to the main row. The main row consists of about seven teeth, which are the largest teeth of the dentition. Six teeth are broken off; only the bases are preserved. They are subcircular to oval in the posterior and circular to slightly rectangular in the anterior portion in occlusal view. The largest main teeth occur in the middle portion of the dentition. The occlusal surface of all main teeth is completely smooth most probably due to their elevation compared to lateral teeth and thus being more exposed to grinding effects.

The lateral teeth are closely arranged in six irregular tooth rows on each side of the principal tooth row. The teeth of the first lateral row are inserted completely into spaces between the main teeth in the posterior and anterior portion. The teeth of the first two lateral rows are small and circular in outline. The occlusal surfaces of the teeth are vertically oriented from the third tooth row on. The teeth of the third lateral tooth row are the largest of the lateral teeth. They are oval in outline with their long axes arranged perpendicular to the long axis of the vomer. The teeth of the fourth lateral tooth row are the smallest. They are circular in outline as are the teeth of the adjacent lateral tooth rows. There are some very small circular teeth lateral to the sixth tooth row. Most lateral teeth are deeply worn and have lost their ornamentation. Only few, small teeth exhibit a shallow, sometimes mammillated apical pit with crimped mar-
gins. There are also striae preserved along the margins of the tooth crown.

**Discussion.** Late Jurassic fishes from different outcrops near Hanover were described in a monograph by Fricke (1876). He reported numerous hybodont sharks, three species of *Lepidotes*, and a rather diverse pycnodont fish fauna. Unfortunately, almost all of these records are based solely on isolated dentitions or teeth. The only skeletal pycnodont remains from the Late Jurassic of northern Germany consisting of a partially preserved skull and associated postcraniar elements was attributed to “Mesodon” *granulatus* by Fricke (1876). Subsequently, Fricke (1876) attributed almost all isolated pycnodont dentitions to the taxon *Mesodon*. However, the taxon *Mesodon*, as it is now generally accepted, represents an unnatural grouping of several unrelated taxa (e.g., Kriwet 2001a; Poyato-Ariza & Wenz 2002, 2004).

Woodward (1918) already recognized this and created the genus *Eomesodon* in an attempt to clarify its taxonomy. Poyato-Ariza & Wenz (2002, 2004) identified at least two genera based on specimens, which have been attributed to *Eomesodon* and *Macromesodon* respectively (see discussion in Poyato-Ariza & Wenz 2004). All taxa previously referred to *Eomesodon* and *Macromesodon* are characterised by five longitudinal tooth rows on the vomer. The prearticular dentitions attributed to the different species of *Mesodon* by Fricke (1876) (*M. granulatus*, *M. rugulosus*, *M. wittei*, *M. laevior*, *M. pusillus*, *M. minutus*, *M. hugi*) vary significantly in the number and arrangement of teeth and tooth rows. Some of these species can be referred to *Eomesodon* or *Apomesodon* (e.g., *M. granulatus*, *M. rugulosus*), while the generic attribution of most others remains unclear at the moment. Isolated vomerine dentitions of “Mesodon” with five longitudinal tooth rows might belong to *Macromesodon* rather than *Turberosodon*.

Sauvage (1880) introduced the pycnodont species *Athrodon* for isolated prearticular dentitions from the Late Jurassic of northern France. The characteristic feature of this genus, which is only known by isolated dentitions, is the high dental number comprising small and rounded teeth (Fig. 4). These teeth are of similar size to each other with an ornamentation very similar to that found in *Gyrodus* spp. (see for instance Thies (1985) and Kriwet (1999) for a description of *Gyrodus* dental features). Woodward (1895b) characterised the prearticular dentition of *Athrodon* as being similar to that of *Mesodon* but stouter and with a more pronounced symphysis. The teeth form more than five tooth rows and are more irregularly arranged than in *Macromesodon s. str.* with an inconspicuous main row. Vomerine dentitions of *Athrodon*, conversely, are very incompletely known and most species are known by their prearticulars only. The vomerine dentition of *Athrodon wittei* also has been unknown until now.

Several isolated dentitions from Cretaceous deposits have been included within *Athrodon*. For instance, Woodward (1893) described an isolated vomerine dentition from the Cambridge Greensand of southern England, which he identified as belonging to *Athrodon* without any specific assignment. The specimen bears a principal, rather inconspicuous tooth row of circular teeth which are arranged longitudinally and which are only slightly larger than the adjacent teeth. The specimen (BMNH P7186) exhibits at least four tooth rows lateral to the principal row with circular teeth (Woodward 1893: pl. 16, fig. 4; Woodward 1895a: pl. 17, fig. 2; pers. observ.). The teeth exhibit an apical pit, which may bear a central papilla. The margins are crimped when not worn down. The oral surface of the vomer is strongly convex. Another fragmentary vomerine dentition, BMNH P11276, from the Late Jurassic (Tithonian) of Brunswick (Germany) bears rounded teeth of similar size, which are arranged in about 10 very irregular tooth rows. The number of lateral tooth rows (more than five) in combination with teeth of similar size and morphology in all tooth rows is quite atypical for pycnodonts. The vomerine teeth are arranged in five more or less longitudinal rows in most pycnodonts (e.g., *Apomesodon*, *Coelodus*, *Gyrodus*, *Iemanja*, *Macromesodon*, *Mesturus*, *Nursallia*, *Oeclodus*, *Proscinetes*). The vomerine dentition of the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous *Turberosodon*, conversely, bears only three longitudinal tooth rows (Poyato-Ariza & Wenz 2004). Although the conjunction of isolated vomerine and prearticular dentitions is rather doubtful in most cases, it seems reasonable to combine vomerine and prearticular dentitions with similar numbers of tooth rows and tooth morphology and ornamentation when they occur together in the same locality.

The only other pycnodont with more than seven vomerine tooth rows is *Nonaphalagodus trinitiensis* from the Albian of Texas (Thurmond 1974). This taxon, however, differs readily from *Athrodon* in the closer and more regular arrangement of teeth, the more individualised principal tooth row, and the absence of the characteristic ornamentation.
Geographic and stratigraphic occurrences of *Athrodon*

So far, eight species of *Athrodon* ranging from the Late Jurassic to the Late Cretaceous have been described, but they are only known from their prearticular dentitions. The known distribution of all these taxa is restricted to marine deposits in Central Europe. Rare discoveries of isolated dentitions indicate that this taxon also occurs in the Middle Jurassic (e.g., Fig. 4). In the Kimmeridgian, *Athrodon* shows a very restricted distribution and has been reported from Tönjesberg in northwestern Germany (*A. wittei* Fricke, 1876), Boulogne in France (*A. boloniensis* Sauvage, 1867; *A. douvillei* Sauvage, 1880) and Fumel in France (*A. boloniensis*; Sauvage 1902). Hauterivian pycnodonts are well-known from the Paris Basin and Cornuel (1883, 1886) indicated the presence of *Athrodon profusi dentis* amongst others. Since the papers of Woodward (e.g., 1888, 1893, 1895a, 1895b, 1909), the Chalk succession (Chalk group) of southern England is known for its abundant fish fossils of Late Cretaceous age. A diverse fish fauna consisting of isolated remains was recovered in the 19th century from the base of the Lower Chalk, which is marked by the Cambridge Greensand (lower Cenomanian) in the north-eastern part of southern England and includes *Athrodon crassus* and *A. jessoni* (Woodward 1893). Woodward (1893) also indicated the presence of *Athrodon* in the Santonian of Lonžee in Belgium, which, however, more likely belongs to *Anomoedeas*. In addition, Priem (1898) described dental remains of *Athrodon* sp. from the lower Senonian (Turonian?) of Saint-Paterne in northwestern France. The presence of *Athrodon* in the Cretaceous, however, is very questionable and each of the reported remains might represent different taxa.

Conclusions

The vomerine dentition described in this study is characterised by its high number of lateral tooth rows and morphology of the teeth. It is very similar to the English specimen assigned to *Athrodon*. Therefore, the specimen from Tönjesberg near Hanover is placed within *Athrodon*. The fish fauna from northwestern Germany described by Fricke (1876) includes many species of *Mesodon*. “*Mesodon* wittei”, which was based on a single and fragmentary specimen was compared with *Athrodon douvillei* and *Athrodon boloniensis* by Sauvage (1880) and was ascribed to *Athrodon* by Woodward (1893). The above described vomer, which occurs together with the prearticular of *A. wittei* in the same sediments and locality, is combined with the latter because both share important characters such as tooth arrangement, peculiarities in tooth row morphology, and tooth form and ornamentation.

The attribution of the vomerine dentition described above to *A. wittei*, in addition to the morphology of the two other vomerine dentitions housed in The Natural History Museum, London, allows revision of the generic and species diagnoses of *A. wittei*. Most notably, the dentition of *Athrodon* differs from most other pycnodont dentitions in the peculiar arrangement of the teeth into rows. In most pycnodonts, the teeth on the vomer and prearticulars are generally arranged in well-defined tooth rows. This is also the case in plesiomorphic taxa (e.g., *Mesturus, Gyrodus, Brembodus, Gibbodus* and others; compare Kriwet 2000, 2005). *Iemanja palma* from the Early Cretaceous of Brazil is the only other pycnodontiform in which the teeth are arranged in a very irregular fashion forming indistinct tooth rows. Consequently, the absence of regular tooth rows is not considered plesiomorphic but probably was apomorphic and achieved independently in different pycnodont lineages. The high number of lateral tooth rows (> four) is considered to be autapomorphic for *Athrodon*. *Nonaphalagodus* from the Albian of Texas resembles *Athrodon* in the high number of vomerine tooth rows but differs in that this taxon displays the more derived feature of the teeth being arranged more regularly and individualized rows.

The combination of vomerine and prearticular tooth arrangements and the number of tooth rows is considered to show a taxonomic signal and is therefore indicative for pycnodonts at least on generic level (Kriwet 2001, 2003) in spite of the fact that Poyato-Ariza (2003) strongly argued against using dental characters for assessing the systematic position of taxa within Pycnodontiformes. Consequently, the genus *Athrodon*, which is only known by isolated dentitions is left as Family incertae sedis here.
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