Osseous anomalies of the cryptobranchid *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum* (Amphibia: Caudata) from the Late Cretaceous of Uzbekistan
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Abstract. Osseous anomalies/pathologies in the Late Cretaceous cryptobranchid salamander *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum* from the Turonian Bissekty Formation of Uzbekistan are analyzed using gross morphological description, microCT, and histologic analysis. These pathologies result from trauma (fractured and subsequently healed dentary and femora; hematoma on femur), possible infection due to trauma (prearticular with exostosis and necrotic cavities; anterior trunk vertebra and fused vertebra with pathological enlargements formed during reactive periosteal growth) and congenital disorders (hemivertebra and fused vertebrae with shortened asymmetrical centra and abnormal arrangements of transverse processes). The origin of the pathologies of two atlantal specimens (enlargement of transverse processes) is unclear. Our report of hemivertebra in *Eoscapherpeton* is the first occurrence of this congenital pathology in a fossil lissamphibian. The occurrence of several traumatic femoral pathologies in *Eoscapherpeton* could be a result of intraspecific aggressive behavior. Bone pathologies are described for the first time in fossil salamanders.

1 Introduction

The study of paleopathology offers an effective tool for understanding some aspects of vertebrate paleobiology, including lifestyle and behavior (e.g., Hanna, 2002; Martinelli et al., 2015), as well as reaction of the skeletal tissues to diseases and injuries (traumas) through the time (Rothschild and Tanke 1991; Rothschild and Martin, 2006; Waldron, 2009). Despite numerous paleopathological studies of different tetrapods (e.g., dinosaurs, crocodiles), paleopathologies among fossil members of modern groups of amphibians (i.e., lissamphibians: frogs, salamanders and caecilians) are poorly documented (Rothschild, 2012; Rothschild and Laub, 2013). Reported skeletal anomalies among fossil salamanders are limited to polydactyly, abnormal phalangeal counts and supernumerary hind limbs in the Jurassic cryptobranchid *Chunerpeton tianyiensis* from China (Wang et al., 2016). Other pathologies in fossil salamanders have not been described and analyzed for etiology (Rothschild et al., 2012).

Expeditions to the Kyzylkum Desert (Uzbekistan) in 1977–1994 by Lev A. Nesov and in 1997–2006 by the international Uzbek/Russian/British/American/Canadian Joint Paleontological Expeditions (URBAC) yielded several thousand bones of the fossil cryptobranchid salamander *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum* from the Upper Cretaceous (Turonian) Bissekty Formation (Nesov 1981, 1997; Skutschas, 2009, 2013). This abundant material contains several abnormal specimens of *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum*, including fused vertebrae, fractured bones and some other osseous pathologies. The main aims of this study are to describe osseous anomalies in *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum* (using gross morphological description, microCT, and histologic analysis) and to discuss their possible etiology.

2 Materials and methods

A total of 17 specimens representing isolated elements from the Dzharakuduk locality of the Turonian Bissekty Formation (Redman and Leighton, 2009) that belong to the cryptobranchid salamander *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum* were examined. All these specimens are stored at the Paleoherpeto logical collection (ZIN PH) of the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint Petersburg, Russia. The abnormal specimens include two bones of the lower
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Skeletal element</th>
<th>Size class</th>
<th>External pathological description</th>
<th>Details of internal structure</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Figure in this paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 1/243</td>
<td>prearticular</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>focal bony protrusion (i.e., exostosis); eroded bone surface</td>
<td>necrotic cavities connected with vascular canals</td>
<td>traumatic-infectious (osteomyelitis?)</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 2/243</td>
<td>fragmentary dentary</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>coarse callus porous tissue of callus due to the presence of radial canals</td>
<td></td>
<td>traumatic (healed fracture)</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 3/243</td>
<td>atlantal centrum</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>enlarged transverse process no specific internal details unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 1830/242</td>
<td>atlantal centrum</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>asymmetry (in anterior and posterior views) and presence of well-developed transverse processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 13/243</td>
<td>anterior trunk vertebra</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>enlarged (both) and elongated (right) transverse processes porous transverse processes with large irregular cavities</td>
<td>traumatic-infectious? (osteomyelitis?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 1829/242</td>
<td>co-ossified atlas and hemivertebra</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; asymmetrical atlas with the posterior cotyle oriented posterolaterally; narrow and wedge-like hemivertebral centrum with a large transverse process</td>
<td>difference in the densities of atlantal and the hemivertebral centra; enlarged transverse process of hemivertebra with large cavity</td>
<td>congenital</td>
<td>Fig. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 1832/242</td>
<td>fused atlas and the first trunk vertebra</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; enlargements on the lateral surfaces of the centra and the hypapophyses highly porous bone enlargements</td>
<td>traumatic-infectious? (osteomyelitis?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 1831/242</td>
<td>fused atlas and the first trunk vertebra</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; no bone enlargements; shortening of the centra asymmetry of the centra</td>
<td>congenital</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 4/243</td>
<td>fused two anterior trunk vertebrae</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; enlargements on the lateral surfaces of the centra and the hypapophyses displacement of vertebra; porous structure of enlargements</td>
<td>traumatic-infectious? (osteomyelitis?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 6/243</td>
<td>fused two anterior trunk vertebrae</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; no bone enlargements; shortening of the centra, asymmetric arrangement of the transverse processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 7/243</td>
<td>fused two posterior trunk vertebrae</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; no bone enlargements; shortening of the centra, and asymmetric arrangement of the transverse processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 5/243</td>
<td>fused three anterior trunk vertebrae</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae; no bone enlargements; shortening of the centra</td>
<td>no specific internal details</td>
<td>congenital</td>
<td>Fig. 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 8/243</td>
<td>fused neural arches of two trunk vertebrae</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>fusion of vertebrae no specific internal details</td>
<td></td>
<td>congenital</td>
<td>Fig. 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 10/243</td>
<td>distal part of the femur</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>smooth focal bone enlargement smooth focal bone enlargement due to the presence of numerous cavities; enlargement is composed of primary bone</td>
<td></td>
<td>traumatic (hematoma)</td>
<td>Fig. 14a–h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 11/243</td>
<td>distal part of the femur</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>smooth focal bone enlargement (callus); displacement of the distal end porous structure of callus</td>
<td></td>
<td>traumatic (healed fracture)</td>
<td>Fig. 14i–o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIN PH 12/243</td>
<td>distal part of the femur</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>smooth focal bone enlargement (callus); displacement of the distal end porous structure of callus due to the presence of numerous longitudinal and obliquely oriented vascular canals</td>
<td></td>
<td>traumatic (healed fracture)</td>
<td>Fig. 15g–p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The prearticular ZIN PH 1/243 of Eoscapherpeton asiaticum. (a) Medial view, (b) lateral view, (c) medial view (digital restoration) with the locations of the microCT digital sections, (d) digital transverse section, and (e–f) digital longitudinal sections. Abbreviations: E – exostosis; NC – necrotic cavity.

Figure 2. The dentary ZIN PH 2/243 of Eoscapherpeton asiaticum. (a) Medial view, (b) lateral view, (c) ventral view (digital restoration) with the location of the transverse microCT digital section, (d) dorsal view (digital restoration) with the location of the longitudinal microCT digital section, (e) digital transverse section, and (f) digital longitudinal section. Abbreviations: C – callus; RC – radial canals.


All specimens were CT-scanned (at 100 kV and 0.1 mA, generating a resolution of 2.9 µm of pixel size and an output of 4000 × 4000 pixels per slice) at the Saint Petersburg State University Research Centre for X-ray Diffraction Studies (Saint Petersburg, Russia) using a Skyscan 1172 CT scanner. CT scan data were imported to the software Amira 6.3.0 (FEI-VSG Company), where the model was reconstructed and segmented.

The CT data are deposited in the Department of Vertebrate Zoology of the Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia, and can be made available by the present authors for the purpose of scientific study.

For the histologic analysis, standard petrographic thin sections (transverse) of two abnormal femora (distal fragments ZIN PH 9/243, ZIN PH 12/243) were prepared. The sections were observed under polarized light using an optical microscope (Leica 4500, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) in the Saint Petersburg State University Research Centre for X-ray Diffraction Studies, Russia. Histological terminology follows Francillon-Vieillot et al. (1990). The thin sections used in study are housed in the histological collection of the Department of Vertebrate Zoology, Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia.

3 Results
3.1 Pathologies of bones of the lower jaw

Osseous anomalies were found in the medium-sized prearticular ZIN PH 1/243 and the fragmentary dentary ZIN PH 2/243 (Table 1). The prearticular ZIN PH 1/243 (Fig. 1) exhibits a focal bony protrusion (i.e., exostosis) on the medial surface. The bone surface around the exostosis is eroded due to the presence of relatively deep notches of irregular shape. Internal examination reveals the presence of large necrotic cavities which were connected with vascular canals (Fig. 1d–f) and with erosion notches on the bone surface. The possible diagnosis for this pathology is osteomyelitis – inflammation of bone and bone marrow (usually posttraumatic) caused by bacteria (more rarely by fungi), resulting in the periosteal reaction and irregular bone surface (Rothschild and Martin, 2006; Peterson and Vittore, 2012; Marais et al., 2014; García et al., 2016; Ramírez-Velasco et al., 2016).

The medium-sized fragmentary dentary ZIN PH 2/243 (Fig. 2) displays a focal bone enlargement (i.e., callus). Internally, the tissue of the callus is porous (in comparison to that of normal tissue of the bone) and contains several radial canals (Fig. 2e–f). The callus was formed during the healing of traumatic fracture of the dentary (traumatic nature of
3.2 Atlantal pathologies

Two atlantal centra in the sample show the osseous anomalies: the small centrum ZIN PH 3/243 and one medium-sized centrum ZIN PH 1830/242 (Table 1). The small atlas ZIN PH 3/243 (Fig. 3) is characterized by an enlarged transverse process (i.e., rib bearer) on the right lateral surface. The inner structure of the enlarged transverse process demonstrates the presence of a large cavity (i.e, channel) that in life was likely filled by cartilage (Fig. 3f–g). There are no differences in porosity in the different parts of the cortical regions and no clear evidence of fractures or inflammation process. The origin of the pathology is unknown and it could be traumatic-infectious, developmental or neoplasm (Rothschild and Martin, 2006; Waldron, 2009).

The centrum of the larger atlas ZIN PH 1830/242 (Fig. 4) is asymmetric (in anterior and posterior views) and bears well-developed transverse processes on the both lateral surfaces. The inner structure of the enlarged transverse processes is similar to that in ZIN PH 3/243 (the presence of the large cavity; Fig. 4g). Like in ZIN PH 3/243, the origin of the pathology is unclear.

3.3 Abnormal trunk vertebra

The large anterior trunk vertebra ZIN PH 13/243 (Fig. 5) exhibits an abnormal structure of the transverse processes on both lateral surfaces of the centrum: right transverse processes are slightly enlarged, while left transverse processes are significantly enlarged and elongated (probably due to the fusion with a rib). The internal structure of the enlarged transverse processes is highly porous and contains large irregular cavities. Reactive bone growth is likely the result of complication of healing after trauma and the subsequent enlargement of transverse processes could be caused by osteomyelitis (traumatic-infectious origin of pathology) (Rothschild and Martin, 2006; Waldron, 2009; García et al., 2016).

3.4 Hemivertebra

The specimen ZIN PH 1829/242 is an asymmetrical (in dorsal and ventral view) fragmentary large atlas that is coossified with a hemivertebra (Fig. 6). The posterior cotyle...
of the atlantal centrum is oriented posterolaterally. The hemivertebral centrum is narrow, wedge-like and located at the posterior left portion of the specimen. The hemivertebral centrum bears a large transverse process. The bases of neural arches of the atlas and hemivertaebra are not fused. Externally, the boundary between atlantal and the hemivertebral centra is clearly traceable as a groove on the lateral surface of the hypapophysis. Internally, the boundary is not clearly evident, but some differences in the densities of atlantal and the hemivertebral centra are visible in some virtual slices (Fig. 6i). The inner structure of the enlarged transverse process demonstrates the presence of a large cavity (i.e., channel).

3.5 Fusion of vertebrae

The sample of fused vertebrae (all vertebrae are relatively large in size) includes two specimens of fused atlas and the first trunk vertebrae (ZIN PH 1831-1832/242), two specimens of the fused two anterior trunk vertebrae (ZIN PH 4/243, 6/243), one specimen of the fused three anterior trunk vertebrae (ZIN PH 5/243), one specimen of the fused two posterior trunk vertebrae (ZIN PH 7/243) and one specimen of the fused neural arches of two trunk vertebrae (ZIN PH 8/243) (total number of specimens – 7; Table 1).

The specimen ZIN PH 1832/242 (Fig. 7) exhibits enlargements on the lateral surfaces of the centra and the hypapophyses along the suture between the atlas and the first trunk vertebrae that were formed during reactive bone growth. The internal structure of these enlarged areas is highly porous. The enlargements could be caused by osteomyelitis after trauma (traumatic-infectious nature of pathology).

The specimen ZIN PH 1831/242 (Fig. 8) displays the fusion of the atlas and the first trunk vertebrae without notable pathological enlargements on the lateral surfaces of the centra and the hypapophyses (only low ridge is present along the suture between atlas and the first trunk vertebrae). This suggests the absence of the periosteal reactive bone growth. Both vertebrae are shortened in comparison with normal vertebrae or that in the specimen (ZIN PH 1832/242). The absence of any traces of trauma (e.g., fracture, displacement, callus) and inflammation of bone (e.g., enlargements formed during reactive bone growth, necrotic cavities) suggests the congenital origin of the fusion.

The fused two anterior trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 4/243 (Fig. 9) are characterized by the enlargements on the lateral surfaces of the centra and the hypapophyses along the suture between vertebrae and by deformation of the hypapophyses.
The fused two anterior trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 6/243 (Fig. 10) are characterized by shortening of the centra, asymmetry of the centra (due to the unequal development of the anterior and posterior portions) and asymmetric arrangement of the transverse processes (widely separated on the left side and closely spaced on the right side of the fused centra). The bases of neural arches are fused and a large spinal nerve foramen is present.

Similarly to the specimen ZIN PH 6/243, the fused two posterior trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 7/243 (Fig. 11) exhibit the vertebral shortening with asymmetry of the centra and asymmetric arrangement of the transverse processes. The absence of any traces of trauma and inflammation of bone suggests the congenital origin of the fusion. The asymmetric arrangement of the transverse processes and vertebral shortening could be the result of abnormal segmentation during embryogenesis.

The specimen ZIN PH 5/243 consists of three fused anterior trunk vertebrae (Fig. 12). The vertebrae exhibit the vertebral shortening and do not display any signs of trauma and/or inflammation of bone. We suggest the congenital origin of the fusion in ZIN PH 5/243.

The neural arches of two trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 8/243 (Fig. 13) are fused without any trace of suture. The more anterior neural arch is lower than the more posterior one. There are no pathological enlargements (no signs of periosteal reactive growth), and the fusion of these neural arches is most likely of congenital origin.

### 3.6 Femoral pathologies

The distal fragment of the medium-sized femur ZIN PH 9/243 (Fig. 14a–h) displays the presence of large smooth focal bone enlargement (i.e., periosteal elevation) in the metaphyseal region. The internal structure of this bone enlargement is porous due to the presence of large cavities of irregular shape (i.e., erosion bays) (Fig. 14f–h). The tissue
of the enlargement is entirely composed of a parallel-fibered primary bone of periosteal origin. The parallel-fibered primary bone in the outer part of the enlargement is more organized than in the inner porous part and is similar to that of the normal cortical bone of this femur (Fig. 14h). No evidence of fracture was revealed by CT scanning and histological analysis. Similar bone enlargement in modern reptiles was identified as hematoma (Rothschild et al., 2010, 2012). We also interpret the femoral pathology on ZIN PH 9/243 as hematoma that could have been caused by trauma (traumatic origin).

All other femoral specimens in the sample (two distal fragments ZIN PH 10-11/243 of medium-sized femora and one distal fragment ZIN PH 12/243 of large femora; Table 1, Figs. 14i–o, 15) display the signs of healed fractures that are traceable in CT images. Externally, all the specimens demonstrate displacements of the distal end of the bone and have large smooth focal bone enlargements (i.e., calluses) in the metaphyseal region that were formed during the healing of traumatic fractures. The internal structure of the calluses is porous and contains numerous longitudinal and obliquely oriented vascular canals that are typical for these structures (Fig. 15n–p).

4 Discussion

4.1 General discussion

Eoscapherpeton asiaticum demonstrates a wide spectrum of osseous anomalies/pathologies, resulting from trauma, possible infection due to trauma, and congenital disorders. Traumatic origins of pathologies are suggested for the dentary ZIN PH 2/243 (the presence of the fracture and the callus) and for femoral fragments ZIN PH 9-12/243 (hematoma and healed fractures with the calluses on the distal portions of the bones) (Table 1). The possible traumatic-infectious pathologies are associated with bone enlargements formed during reactive bone growth and/or the presence of necrotic cavities are suggested for the prearticular ZIN PH 1/243, the anterior trunk vertebra ZIN PH 13/243, the fused atlas and the first trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 1832/242, and the fused two ante-
Figure 11. The fused two posterior trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 7/243 of Eoscapherpeton asiaticum. (a) Ventral view, (b) dorsal view, (c) anterior view, (d) right lateral view, (e) left lateral view, (f) ventral view (digital restoration) with the location of the microCT digital sections, (g) anterior view (digital restoration) with the location of longitudinal the microCT digital section, (h) right lateral view (digital restoration), (i) left lateral view (digital restoration), (k) digital longitudinal section (vertical plane), (l) digital transverse section in anterior view, and (m) digital longitudinal section (horizontal plane) in dorsal view. Broken lines show the border between trunk vertebrae. Abbreviations: NA – neural arch; TP1 – transverse process of more anterior vertebra; TP2 – transverse process of more posterior vertebra.

Figure 12. The fused three anterior trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 5/243 of Eoscapherpeton asiaticum. (a) Ventral view, (b) dorsal view, (c) left lateral view, (d) right lateral view, (e) ventral view (digital restoration) with the location of longitudinal the microCT digital section, (f) left lateral view (digital restoration) with the location of longitudinal the microCT digital section, (g) right lateral view (digital restoration), (h) digital longitudinal section (vertical plane), and (i) digital longitudinal section (horizontal plane) in dorsal view. Broken lines show the border between trunk vertebrae.

4.2 Hemivertebra in Eoscapherpeton asiaticum

A hemivertebra is a congenital malformation in which one or more lateral halves of vertebrae are intercalated between neighboring vertebrae. Formation of hemivertebrae is a result of abnormal segmentation during embryogenesis (i.e., failure of somitogenesis before chondrification and ossification of the vertebral anlagen; e.g., Witzmann et al., 2008, 2013; Burnham et al., 2013). In amniots, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013). In amniotes, single vertebrae are derived from the sclerotome of two adjacent somites and this process is widely known as resegmentation (Piekarski and Olsson, 2013).
ollowing reasons: (1) it is the oldest occurrence of resegmentation in salamanders, and (2) it is evidence that resegmentation is a common process for salamanders (including relatively basal cryptobranchids and advanced ambystomatids).

A hemivertebra in *E. asiaticum* was found in a relatively large (according to the large size of the atlas that was coossified with hemivertebra) and, presumably, adult individual (Fig. 6). The presence of a hemivertebra in a large individual suggests that this pathology did not cause its death.

The hemivertebrae have been reported earlier for some Paleozoic and Mesozoic temnospondyls (Witzmann et al., 2013). The finding of hemivertebra in the Late Cretaceous cryptobranchid *E. asiaticum* is the oldest (and the first described) occurrence of this pathology among modern groups of amphibians (i.e., lissamphibians: salamanders, frogs and caecilians).

### 4.3 Femoral pathologies in *Eoscapherpeton*: possible insight into behavior

The traumatic origin of pathologies on the distal parts of the femora (Figs. 14–15) could be evidence of frequent occurrence of hind limb injuries in *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum*. In modern cryptobranchids such as *Cryptobranchus*, limb injuries are the most common physical abnormalities in wild populations (Miller and Miller, 2005). The proposed main cause of limb injuries in *Cryptobranchus* is intraspecific aggression during the reproductive season (e.g., defending of nesting sites), because fresh injuries were found only during the reproductive season (namely in August and September) (Hiler et al., 2005; Miller and Miller, 2005). Taking into account the phylogenetic position of *Eoscapherpeton* as a member of the cryptobranchid clade and their aquatic lifestyle (see Skutschas, 2009, 2013), the reason for the frequent occurrence of hind limb injuries in *E. asiaticum* could be the same (intraspecific aggression during reproductive season), and this behavioral element could have appeared early in the evolution of cryptobranchids, i.e., at least in the Late Cretaceous.

---

**Figure 13.** The fused neural arches of two trunk vertebrae ZIN PH 8/243 of *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum*. (a) Dorsal view, (b) right lateral view, (c) left lateral view, (d) left lateral view (digital restoration), (e) right lateral view (digital restoration), (f) dorsal view (digital restoration) with the location of longitudinal the microCT digital section, and (g) digital longitudinal section (vertical plane).

**Figure 14.** The distal parts of the femora ZIN PH 9/243 (a–h) and ZIN PH 10/243 (i–o) of *Eoscapherpeton asiaticum*. Specimen ZIN PH 9/243: (a) ventral view, (b) dorsal view, (c) ventral view (digital restoration), (d) dorsal view (digital restoration), (e) anterior or posterior view (digital restoration) with the locations of transverse histological sections and longitudinal the microCT digital section, (f) digital longitudinal section, and (g–h) histological transverse section. Specimen ZIN PH 10/243: (i) ventral view, (k) dorsal view, (l) ventral view (digital restoration) with the locations of the microCT digital sections, (m) anterior or posterior view (digital restoration), (n) digital longitudinal section, and (o) digital transverse section. Blue lines show the original bone position prior to the break. Abbreviations: BE – bone enlargement; C – callus; CB – cortical bone.
5 Conclusions

The study revealed diverse osseous anomalies/pathologies in *Eoscaphepeton asiaticum*, which were interpreted as traumatic, possible traumatic-infectious and congenital in origin, pertaining to bones of the lower jaw, vertebrae and femora. The hemivertebra and fused vertebrae (without any traces of trauma and inflammation) document the earliest occurrence of vertebral congenital pathologies in crown-group salamanders. The frequency of vertebral congenital pathologies in *E. asiaticum* is low and in accordance with the previous estimations for temnospondyls and frogs. The numerous traumatic pathologies of femora (hematoma and healed fractures) suggest the survival of accidents and possibly the presence of interspecific aggression during the reproductive season in this salamander (like in modern cryptobranchids).
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